



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/553,661

10/17/2005

Kenneth Kao

50680-6 /slr

4668

7380

7590

12/03/2008

SMART & BIGGAR

P.O. BOX 2999, STATION D

900-55 METCALFE STREET

OTTAWA, ON K1P5Y6

CANADA

EXAMINER

GUSSOW, ANNE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1643

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/03/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/553,661	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ANNE M. GUSSOW	<b>Art Unit</b> 1643	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ANNE M. GUSSOW. (3) Thuy Nguyen, applicant's representative.  
 (2) David Blanchard. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 10 and 87.

Identification of prior art discussed: Isogai US PAT 6,943,241, of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed pending art rejections over product claims. Discussed how an antibody to the region of polypeptide anticipates an antibody to a polypeptide with a significant region of identity.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Anne M Gussow/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1643

/David J. Blanchard/  
Primary Examiner, AU 1643